

THE NEW US CONSTITUTION



March 2018

Legal Education

Monopoly

Typist: The United States does not understand monopoly. It does not look for criminality, it looks to break up size or punish size. This is on their law books, of their law education. It does not look at restraint of trade. The US version is “what is fair and reasonable and what is based on compliance with contract law. The English considers that the public interest is paramount and contracts are null and void.”¹

Previous conversation on medical monopoly—

The medical monopoly in the US says that you cannot practice medicine without a license. Homeopathy has been shut out of the medical profession. Not only is it illegal to practice homeopathy, it is not covered by insurance, the hospitals have long disappeared.

MOSES: Why does non-toxic medicine receive such a poor reception! And furthermore it is 2 cents medicine, and this is a

¹ What was said in cosmic council was that monopoly was to be handled as small suits, lawsuit after lawsuit against AT&T, General Electric, McDonalds. For example, normal people file a common lawsuit about Starbucks being a monopoly. And the county could require it to post where are the other walking-distance coffee shops on their doors.

monopoly.

MOTHER MARY: It is a monopoly not only in the pharmacies, it is in the distribution of services and is not covered by insurance.

MOSES: What is the difference then? It is that common law works on the Commandment “Thou Shall Not Covet”. It follows the Ten Commandments, and the US legal model; follows after the Thomas Jefferson atheist position of tic-toc reason.

MOTHER MARY: This condition of monopoly can be overturned in a day or two.

MOSES: It is in the public interest.

Typist: What England has is a monopoly commission. So through the agency, it writes the code and becomes law throughout the land. What is the difference between common law and civil law? This example is a very good one. It is about how common law deals with monopoly in England and how in the US. What I highlighted were the words: “forestalling”, “engrossing” and “regrating”—that is the English version. (Regrating defined: to buy up (grain, provisions, etc.) in order to sell again at a profit in or near the same market.)

Can you see how the medical care is “forestalling” help? Can you see how the AMA medical license which homeopaths do not want is “engrossing”? And that in order to practice medicine, you go through a “regrating” process of first obtaining an AMA license?

MOTHER MARY: There is a great injustice when homeopaths who were the farmers who had a long history of healing people and livestock are required to have a medical license when the medicine is non-toxic and does no harm to anyone. The AMA medicines are toxic, and require licensing, I ask you to leave off this injustice that the people of the United States have not this monopoly medical care. It is frightening to the people, it is a great injustice.

MOSES: It is in the public interest.

